

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/271,581	03/18/1999	ANIL V. RAO	M-7266US	M-7266US 4342	
	7590 04/07/2003				
HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 203 AUSTIN, TX			LANIER, BE	NJAMIN E	
		1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132	1	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	. 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/271,581	RAO ET AL.					
, tarico, y riouen	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a property of the	) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl	ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP				
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offici	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate the final originally set in the final	Office action: or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) 🖂 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	<b>S</b> .				
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo		and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
0. Other:	6 lbesto	' 0	-				
	GILBERTO SUPERVISORY PA						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100** 



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Bohannon discloses that the software vendor (manufacturer) can license third party vendors to distribute one or more products to their customers, the software vendor provides the third party vendor with the capability of creating its own site configuration files that would make the configuration files unique for each vendor (manufacturer)(Col. 3, lines 54-67). Therefore the configuration files are considered manufacturer specific identification information as the claims have been amended to include. Bohannon also discloses a technique for mass distribution of software that includes a configuration file uniquely configured for each customer containing a list of only the selected portion of software products, and contains the keys (determining key from configuration file) for decrypting (deciphering data) only those software products (Abstract). The software products reside on a nonvolatile mass storage device such as a CD-ROM (Col. 1, lines 14-15). Patterson discloses a system to regulate access to digital content where on the Windows Operating System a registry file is used to store the unique coded key (means for storing the key in a registry)(Col. 3, lines 54-56).

The amendment raises the new issue of identifying the manufacturer of the computer system for the installation process which was not addressed in the claims prior and will not be entered.